कर सकने के कारण जलाकर मार डाला। इस बारे में सरस्वती बिहार पुलिस स्टेशन में भा.द.सं. की धारा 304-बी के अन्तर्गत एक मामला दर्ज किया गया। अभियुक्त को गिरफ्तार किया गया है। मामला न्यायालय में विचारणाधीन है।

**Public Participation in Curbing Crimes**

2364. MISS SAROJ KHAPARDE: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Commissioner of Police, Delhi has recently opined that 'police alone cannot curb crimes in Delhi, if law and order situation is to be tackled effectively' and has sought peoples' help to police in perfoming its duties;

(b) whether, on the other hand, people in general complain about harassment, lack of protection and time consuming procedures, if they help the police; and

(c) if so, what concrete steps are being taken to overcome the difficulties expressed in part (b) above, so that the people in general can help the police, in one way or the other?

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI L.K. ADVANI): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) It is the constant endeavour of Delhi Police to improve its interface with the public to serve the citizens better.

**Foreign Tours of D.G.H.C. Chairman**

2365. SHRI DAWA LAMA: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Sri Subhas Ghising, Chairman, Darjeeling Gorkha Hill Council, undertook several foreign tours, alongwith his Private Secretary and Principle Secretary of D.G.H.C., without proper permissions;

(b) whether it is also a fact that C.A.G. has detected expenditures in foreign exchange in such tours without permission of R.B.I.; and

(c) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI L.K. ADVANI): (a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c) Some clarifications etc. as sought from the State Government in the matter were duly met by the State Government in consultation with the Council.

**Boundary Dispute between U.P. and Haryana**

2366. SHRI S.M. KRISHNA: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a large number of U.P. farmers have lost their lives over boundary dispute with Haryana; if so, the details thereof;

(b) what are the salient features of Dikshit Award on the subject and when was the Award finalised;

(c) whether his Ministry has been requested for intervention in the matter; if so, the action taken thereron;

(d) if not, whether the Central Government have taken any initiative on its own, to solve the dispute; and

(e) whether any similar border dispute is existing anywhere in the country?

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI L.K. ADVANI): (a) No, such case has come to notice in the recent past.

(b) A copy of the Dikshit Award is enclosed as statement (*see* below)

(c) and (b) The matter is sub judice. Some private parties have filed writ petitions in the Supreme Court of India challenging the Dikshit Award.

(e) There are boundary disputes between U.P. & Bihar, Punjab & Haryana, Maharashtra & Karnataka, Karnataka & Kerala, etc.

**Statement**

*In the Haryana Uttar Pradesh Boundary Dispute*

The Chief Ministers of Haryana and Uttar Pradesh at a meeting with me on the 17th May, 1978 have agreed that the boundary dispute between the two States may be arbitrated upon by me and that my award will be accepted by both the parties. It was further agreed at this meeting that for the purpose of the arbitration, Joint discussion would be held between the officers to be nominated by the two State Governments and an officer to be nominated by me and that the entire boundary question would be gone into by the officers so nominated and the matter submitted to me thereafter.

Shri K.V. K. Sundaram, Adviser to the Home Ministry, was nominated by me to convene meetings and conduct joint discussions with the officers so nominated by the two State Governments. These discussions have been completed by the Adviser and he has submitted to me his report together with the statements, maps and other documents given by the representatives of the two State Governments.

Having given the matter my earnest consideration, I give the following award.

AWARD

I. The boundary between Ambala and Kurukshetra districts of Haryana on the one side and Saharanpur district of Uttar Pradesh on the other, is already a fixed boundary as to the location of which there is no dispute.

II. The boundary between Karnal and Sonepat districts of Haryana on the one side and Saharanpur, Muzaffarnagar and Meerut districts of Uttar Pradesh on the other side has been taken by both the parties to be the fluctuating deep stream of the river Yamuna. Hereafter, this boundary will be fixed at the present deep stream line of the river as verified and determined by the Survey of India during the months of November 1974, December 1974 and January 1975. This has been agreed to by the representatives of both the State Governments and the river survey is being conducted by the Survey of India with an observer from each side.

III. The boundary between Gurgaon district of Haryana on the one side and Bulandshahr and Aligarh districts of Uttar Pradesh on the other side shall hereafter be fixed at the line specified below:—

The line shall commence from the point where the present deep stream line crosses the north-west boundary of BASANTPUR and proceed along the said boundary up to the point where it crosses the north bank of the river Yamuna as ascertained at the 1971-72 river survey conducted by the Survey of India.

If then proceeds along the said north bank up to the point where it meets the boundary between BASANTPUR and SALARPUR; thence along the northern and eastern boundaries of SALARPUR, the eastern boundary of ASALATPUR, the north-eastern boundary of DADSIA, the northern and north-eastern boundaries of KIRAWLI, the northern boundary of LALPUR, the northern and eastern boundaries of MAHAPATPUR, the eastern boundary of MUAZZAMABAD, the eastern and northern boundaries of RAJPUR KALAN including CHAK PHULERA; the northern and eastern boundaries of SHIKARGARH, the northern and eastern boundaries of AMINPUR, the eastern boundary of CHIRSI, the eastern boundary of AKBARPUR, the eastern boundary of MOZAMABAD— MAZRA — SHEIKHPUR, the eastern boundary of SHEIKHPUR, the eastern boundary of MANJHAWLI, the eastern boundary of GARHI BEGAMPUR, the south — eastern boundary of DALELGARH, the eastern boundary of NANGLA— MAZRA-CHANDPUR, the northern and eastern boundaries of SHAHJAHANPUR, the eastern boundary of LATIFPUR, the eastern boundary of PARASRAMPUR alias DULEHPUR, the eastern boundary of MAKANPUR, the north-eastern boundary of WALIPUR, the western, northern and eastern boundaries of SHEIKHPUR, the northern and the north — eastern boundaries of BEHRAMPUR, and the north —western boundary of NANGLIA upto the point where it meets the present deep stream line.

From this point it proceeds along the present deep stream line following the boundary on Uttar Pradesh side of NANGLIA, BAGHPUR KALAN, BAGHPUR KHURD , SOLRAH, BHOLRA, DOSTPUR, GURWARI and CHANDHAT up to the junction of the old main stream of the river Yamuna and the channel or branch of the river commonly known as the Zair Nala, and thence along the present deep stream line up to the southern boundary of MAHOLI.

Explanation-In this Paragraph,—

(a) any reference to the boundary of a village named in sub-paragraph (1) or (2) shall be construed as a reference to the

boundary of that village as ascertained and mapped at the Settlement of Gurgaon district completed in 1943;

(b) the reference to the present deep stream line at the end of sub-paragraph (2) and at the beginning of sub-paragraph (3) shall be construed as reference to the deep stream line pertaining to the old main stream of the river Yamuna as verified and determined by the Survey of India in the month of January 1975.

IV. The boundary between Gurgaon district of Haryana on the one side and Mathura district of Uttar Pradesh on the other is already a fixed boundary in regard to the location of which there is no dispute.

Sd/-
UMA SHANKAR DIKSHIT

14th February, 1975

**संसदीय राजभाषा समिति द्वारा प्रस्तुत की गई रिपोर्टें**

2367. **श्री बालकवि बैरागी** : क्या गृह मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि समय-समय पर संसदीय राजभाषा समिति द्वारा महामहिम राष्ट्रपति को प्रस्तुत किए गए प्रतिवेदनों के कार्यान्वयन के लिए सरकार द्वारा क्या कार्यवाही की गई है?

**गृह मंत्री (श्री लाल कृष्ण आडवानी)** संसदीय राजभाषा समिति ने अभी तक प्रतिवेदन के छः खण्ड राष्ट्रपति जी को प्रस्तुत किए हैं। इनमें से प्रतिवेदन के पांच खण्डों में की गई संस्तुतियों पर राष्ट्रपति जी के आदेश भारत सरकार के सभी मंत्रालयों/विभागों को परिचालित किए गए है। इन आदेशों के अनुपालन की कार्यवाही सभी मंत्रालयों/विभागों आदि द्वारा की जाती है। प्रतिवेदन का छठा खंड मुद्रणाधीन है।

**Punjabi as second Language in Delhi**

2368. SHRI KARTAR SINGH DUGGAL: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether inspite of the decision taken by Union Territory of Delhi in principle to declare Punjabi as the second language, it has been eluding implementation somehow all these days;

(b) what is the latest position in this respect; and

(c) by when would the resolution be implemented?

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI L.K. ADVANI): (a) to (c) The relevant Bill introduced in Delhi Legislative Assembly in December, 1995 was referred to the Select Committee which suggested certain amendments. The re-drafted Bill has not, however, so far been re-introduced in the Assembly.

**Arrest of Rajan Tiwari**

2369. SHRI NILOTPAL BASU:
SHRI DIPANKAR MUKHERJEE:

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Rajan Tiwari, the prime accused in the murder case of Bihar M.L.A., Ajit Sarkar, has been arrested from the Delhi residence of a Member of Parliament;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the steps contemplated in the light of these, in terms of implementing the Vohra Committee Report?

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI L.K. ADVANI): (a) to (c) Rajan Tiwari was arrested by Delhi Police from the lawn adjacent to the House Nos. 14-D and 14-E at Feroze Shah Road, New Delhi. The investigations in the case indicate that he had been residing in the official residence of a Member of Parliament for 2-3 days under a fictitious name.

**SC Directives to HCs on pending criminal cases**

2370. SHRI O.P. KOHLI: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the news-item captioned "Supreme Court asks High Courts to furnish details of pending criminal cases" which appeared in the Hindustan Times dated 1st February, 1999;

(b) if so, the details of matters reported therein; and

(c) the action taken on all the points mentioned in the press report?